Chapter 3. Economic & Social Rights

3.1. Women’s Rights

**Limited Positive Steps in Protecting Women**

In this section, we assess the implementation of the 2013 UPR recommendations on discrimination against women in employment and the right to pay equality, as well as on combating domestic violence and human trafficking.¹

The Chinese government has made public pledges and taken some steps in legislation to protect women’s rights and promote gender equality. During its successful bid to the Human Rights Council in 2013, the government promised to eliminate gender discrimination in employment.² The State acknowledged in its 2014 report to CEDAW that China still faces problems and challenges in eliminating gender discrimination in many aspects of life.³ In its National Human Rights Action Plan (2012-2015), the government promised to “make efforts to eliminate gender discrimination in employment and realize equal payment for men and women doing the same work.” However, in its June 2016 assessment of the Action Plan’s implementation, it provided no evidence of having taken any actions to reach the target.⁴

China took a major step forward by adopting its first Anti-Domestic Violence Law in December 2015 and enacting it in 2016 after decades of advocacy for such legislation by women’s rights activists and academics.⁵ The adoption of the law drew welcome public attention to the issue of domestic violence. One positive element is that the law clearly defines domestic violence to include mental abuse (Article 2). But, as we discuss below, the law has several serious flaws. In our assessment, we consider that the UPR recommendation by Moldova (186.95) to adopt a comprehensive law for combatting domestic violence has been partially implemented.

**Weak Laws & Lack of Effective Measures to Combat Employment Discrimination**

China’s legal framework for protecting women’s right to equal pay for equal employment remains inadequate, and measures to effectively implement relevant laws and regulations have fallen far short. In responding to Bolivia (92) and Iceland’s (177) recommendations on eliminating gender discrimination in employment and guarantee equal pay for equal work, the government claimed that it had “already implemented” Bolivia’s recommendation and Iceland’s
was “being implemented.” The government then made a sweeping and unrealistic statement that “there is no gender discrimination” in China. The government instead pointed to existing legislation, though it provided no evidence that these laws are implemented or women enjoy equal employment rights.

China has a provision in the Constitution on equal pay for equal work (Article 48) and the principle is included in the Law on the Protection of the Rights and Interests of Women (Article 23), but there is no separate and specific legislation on the principle, an issue CEDAW raised in 2014. The lack of full legislation on the issue means the problem has persisted, and pay disparity has been worsening.

The World Economic Forum’s 2015 Gender Gap Report found that women in China earn 65 percent of what men earn. According to the last major government study conducted by the All-China Women’s Federation and the National Bureau of Statistics, in 2010, the pay ratio for men and women in urban areas was 1:0.67, while, in comparison, the ratio in 1990 was 1:0.78. According to a January 2015 report released by a Chinese university, 19 percent of women responded that they believed men received higher pay for equal work, and 33 percent of respondents believed that men were more frequently considered for promotion even if a female employee had equal qualifications. The report also indicated that 61 percent of female graduates and 29.14 percent of all working-age women faced gender discrimination while looking for a job in 2014.

The Labor Law, Law on the Protection of Rights and Interests of Women, and Law on Promotion of Employment formally guarantee equal rights of women, but they fail to provide a clear definition of discrimination, and contain discriminatory provisions—for instance, those that authorize the state to decide on the “suitability” of types of employment for women. Chinese law prohibits women from working in certain types of employment involving physical labor or under certain conditions when they are menstruating. China’s National Program for Women’s Development (2011-2020) also promotes the concept of legally proscribed “unsuitable” positions for women.

Existing laws and regulations also fail to establish mechanisms to seek legal accountability from those who violate the law. The Promotion of Employment Law provides that an employee can file a lawsuit in court over discriminatory practices (Article 62), but there are no specific stipulations establishing an administrative supervisory body to prevent employers from retaliating against those who filed complaints about discrimination. In March 2016, the Ministry of Education released a notice reminding universities not to distribute companies’ hiring advertisements that contain gender discriminatory qualifications. However, the notice fails to provide guidelines for universities to report companies that issue such discriminatory job ads.

In 2015, a group of students set up an organization that reported 244 companies on suspicion of gender discrimination to the labor supervision authorities, but none of the companies was investigated by the government. There have been only a handful of court cases on gender discrimination and the first ever gender discrimination lawsuit was settled out of court in 2014. While three subsequent cases were ruled by courts in favor of the plaintiffs, the courts—in Beijing, Hangzhou and Guangzhou—only penalized the employers with paying 2,000 RMB
(approx. 300 USD) in compensation.\textsuperscript{17} The extremely light punishment is unlikely to act as a deterrent for employers in China.

Persistent stereotypical portrayal of women’s roles in society underlies many problems, including that of discriminatory hiring and employment practices. For example, schools and government sponsored social programs in China have in recent years promoted so-called “women’s morality education.”\textsuperscript{18} In November 2014, CEDAW expressed its concerns over the persistence of deep-rooted stereotypes regarding the roles and responsibilities of women and men in the family and society,” and recommended the government allow an independent body to monitor its efforts to eliminate gender stereotypes.\textsuperscript{19} For the 2013 UPR, China “accepted” the recommendation by Moldova (91)—to eradicate stereotypes of the role of women—but the government has \textit{not implemented} it.

UN independent experts have continued to raise the issue of gender discrimination in employment in China. The Working Group on the issue of discrimination against women in law and in practice, in a report on its visit to China in December 2013, highlighted its concern about the continued discrimination against women in “recruitment, wages, and dismissals.”\textsuperscript{20} In June 2014, CESCR called on China to take concrete measures to eliminate the disparity between men and women in employment.\textsuperscript{21} In November of the same year, CEDAW recommended China “provide dispute resolution mechanisms for women who seek justice for discrimination in employment.”\textsuperscript{22} CEDAW also called on China to enact a comprehensive definition of discrimination against women in law to combat this systematic and structural problem.\textsuperscript{23} None of these recommendations have been implemented.

\textbf{New Anti-Domestic Violence Law Fails to Provide Adequate Protections}

Domestic violence is very common in China. Approximately 25 percent of married women experience violence at some point, according to numbers provided by the government in 2011.\textsuperscript{24} China’s new Anti-Domestic Violence Law, which went into effect in March 2016, sets out legal tools for combating this problem, but it does not provide comprehensive protection for victims.

While the law includes the provision that “the state prohibits domestic violence in any form” (Article 3), the law fails to explicitly mention sexual violence and economic restrictions in the definition of domestic violence.\textsuperscript{25} The law covers people living together who are not family members, but the government announced that the law specifically does not cover LGBT relationships. According to the NPC Standing Committee spokesperson, the reason the law excludes homosexual couples is because “in China we have never discovered violence in homosexual relationships.”\textsuperscript{26} (See also Section 3.2) The law also does not cover estranged or divorced couples.

The law authorizes a special mechanism to penalize perpetrators of domestic violence, including personal safety protection orders and a written warning system aimed at protecting victims (Article 29). There have been media reports that the first protection orders have been issued.\textsuperscript{27} However, the law calls for the orders to be enforced by the people’s courts and only assisted by public security organs and residents and village committees (Article 32),\textsuperscript{28} and it remains to be seen how effective orders will be if they are principally enforced by court officials. Violating the
orders results in only a small fine of 1,000 RMB (approx. 150 USD) or a maximum 15-day detention, unless the individual has also committed a crime (Article 34).

China’s law-enforcement officers and judicial personnel need training on how to properly address complaints. State media reported that, in October 2016, police issued an administrative penalty against a woman after she called police to report an incident of domestic violence. Police questioned the woman in front of her husband until she withdrew the complaint, and then penalized her for “filing a false report.”

The new law does not include measures recommended by treaty bodies. CESCR recommended that China provide victims adequate access to shelters for immediate physical protection, legal aid and medical services. CEDAW also recommended adequately equipped shelters be made available and called on the government to “effectively investigate complaints, prosecute acts of violence against women, and adequately punish perpetrators.”

Population Policy & Defective Law Fail to Deter Trafficking in Women

There continue to be reports that females, including both women and girls, are trafficked both domestically and across borders for the purposes of sexual exploitation, forced marriage, illegal adoption, and forced labor. (See also Section 3.3) The government acknowledged the severity of this problem by announcing an Action Plan for Fighting Human Trafficking (2013-2020) in March 2013. In 2014, CEDAW welcomed the creation of this plan, but expressed concern “about the absence of comprehensive anti-trafficking legislation and a lack of clarity as to whether domestic law criminalizes all forms of trafficking, including trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation, forced labour, forced marriage and illegal adoption.”

The State Council’s Action Plan fails to acknowledge a major consequence of the government’s birth control policy—severe gender imbalance caused in part by the cultural and economic preference for boys—impacts the trafficking of females. Specifically, a shortage of females relative to males has contributed to trafficking of women for forced marriage. While family-planning policies have been relaxed—to allow for two births per married couple, as of January 2016—the existence of any limit on the number of children still makes girls vulnerable to abandonment or trafficking. The State’s birth control policy has deterred parents of “out of quota” infants from reporting cases of missing children to authorities, for fear of punishment for violating the birth control policy.

China has made efforts to meet the minimum standards for the elimination of trafficking of women, but changes made to China’s Criminal Law (CL) neither fully comply with international standards nor effectively combat the problem. Article 240 in the CL, which was revised in 2011, punishes trafficking in women, but still does not explicitly criminalize trafficking for the exploitative purposes of forced labor, debt bondage, or involuntary servitude, which are often the conditions of women trafficked into the sex industry, and also standards of trafficking according to international law. Furthermore, while traffickers can and do face criminal punishment, purchasers of trafficked victims are not held legally accountable under this law.
There is insufficient evidence demonstrating that the government has taken effective measures to “provide women victims [of abduction and trafficking] with physical and psychological rehabilitation services with a view to their integration into the society,” though the government claims that it had “accepted and already implemented” this UPR recommendation by Mali (97). The Chinese government has not provided data on the number of women trafficking victims who have received rehabilitative or legal services, or how many have accessed shelters that could provide them such assistance. Psychological health services for such victims are believed to be inadequate, and the accessibility and effectiveness of other services, including for vocational training, remain unclear.39

**Suggestions**

- Guarantee gender equality and eradicate stereotypes regarding the roles and responsibilities of women in society;
- Adopt a comprehensive law on discrimination that defines gender discrimination in line with the UN Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women;
- Eliminate gender discrimination in employment, including in the relevant laws and regulations, which must be accompanied by appropriate enforcement mechanisms, sanctions, and dispute resolution procedures;
- Amend the Anti-Domestic Violence Law to fully apply to all types of relationships;
- Amend the Criminal Law to hold all offenders—sellers and buyers—in involved in female trafficking into all types of exploitation and servitude criminally accountable, and abolish state birth-control measures that contribute to trafficking in women and children.
3.2 Discrimination Based on Sexual Orientation & Health Status

The Chinese government has not made any substantial progress in law or practice towards ensuring LGBT persons and individuals with HIV/AIDS or Hepatitis B enjoy equal rights. This contradicts the Chinese government’s claim that it had already implemented all three UPR recommendations on these issues. In accepting the UPR recommendations, China asserted that various existing laws ban discrimination, including the Labor Law. However, the reality is that current laws and regulations do not prohibit discrimination based on sexual orientation, gender identity, or HIV status.

Without legal protection for LGBT individuals, they remain vulnerable to systematic discrimination, violence, and are excluded from government services without any recourse to justice. Due to the absence of legal protection prohibiting discrimination based on sexual orientation or gender identity, LGBT persons have faced challenges in seeking equal treatment in schools, workplaces, and in obtaining legal redress. Moreover, China has not revised ministerial regulations that are in conflict with national-level laws specifically pertaining to discrimination against individuals with HIV/AIDS in employment.

No Marriage Equality & Involuntary “Conversion Therapies”

Chinese authorities perpetuate discrimination against LGBT persons by denying marriage equality and equal protection under the law. In 2016, a Chinese court ruled against a landmark same-sex marriage case. Individuals in same-sex relationships do not receive the same legal protections against violence that heterosexual couples enjoy. (See Section 3.1.) Officials stated unequivocally that same-sex partners are not covered by the Anti-Domestic Violence Law after its passage in 2015, despite optimism in the early drafts that the law would be more inclusive. Chinese NGOs have released ample evidence of violence in same-sex relationships and the need for legal protection. This was a major setback in advancing the protection of LGBT rights and reflected the lack of political willpower by the government to ensure equal treatment for all.

In its 2015 Concluding Observations, the Committee Against Torture (CAT) raised concerns over private and public clinics in China that offered “gay conversion therapy” to change the sexual orientation of homosexual individuals, and the failure of the government to outlaw such practice or legally guarantee the respect and integrity of LGBT persons. In China, private and public clinics and hospitals promote treatments to “correct” one’s identity through “conversion therapy,” which often involves psychiatric therapy, aversion therapy, hormone therapy, drug treatment, and the use of electric shocks.

LGBT individuals have been involuntarily sent to psychiatric hospitals or clinics to undergo treatment, often by family members. In 2015, one gay man was involuntarily sent to a
psychiatric hospital by his family because he wanted to divorce his wife.46 Without any communication or evaluation, the hospital committed him for 19 days on grounds of “sexual preference disorder,” during which he was drugged, forcibly injected with medicines, and harassed. This type of involuntary treatment is the result of government policies, which continue to classify some form of homosexuality and bisexuality as mental perversion in the Chinese Classification of Medical Disorders (CCMD-3).47 It is also a violation of China’s Mental Health Law, which stipulates comprehensive examination by qualified doctors and voluntary participation. (See also Section 2.4.)

**Discrimination & Inequality in Employment for LGBT Persons**

In 2015, the LGBT community celebrated language in the proposed “Employment Anti-Discrimination Law,” which stipulates that gender identity and sexual orientation should not be factors considered by employers when recruiting, hiring, training, paying, promoting, and providing benefits to employees.48 If passed, it has the potential to be a legal breakthrough that could offer official protection for LGBT individuals in the workforce. However, there is no clear timeline to pass this proposal and no guarantee that the final version will include the stipulations regarding gender identity and sexual orientation, or that they will be implemented.

Discrimination against LGBT individuals in the workplace is widespread and officially endorsed due to the lack of legal recognition of gender diversity. According a 2013 survey by Aibai Culture and Education Center of 2,161 LGBT individuals, 38.5 percent of them were subjected to discrimination and unequal treatment in the workplace because of their gender identity or sexual orientation.49

While Chinese authorities have taken some small steps to prevent discrimination in the workplace, they have not yet taken concrete or substantial steps in law or practice to prevent discrimination based on gender identity or sexual orientation. When authorities accepted all three UPR recommendations, they claimed laws already prohibited discrimination against different groups. Authorities argued that “the Labor Law of China stipulates that workers shall not be discriminated against on grounds of ethnicity, race, sex and religious beliefs;” and that “the Employment Promotion Law contains systematic stipulations against employment discriminations.” Neither the Labor Law nor the Employment Promotion Law, however, offer any legal protection for LGBT persons because gender identity and sexual orientation are not listed as grounds for discrimination.50

The lack of legal protections for LGBT individuals has also led to unequal treatment when they seek legal redress in employment discrimination cases. In 2014, a man in Shenzhen lost his job after his sexual orientation was revealed.51 He filed a lawsuit against his employer, in what was China’s first employment discrimination suit based on sexual orientation. The judge indirectly admitted sexual orientation had played a role in the termination of employment, but still ruled against him.52 In 2016, a transgender person was fired because the employer feared the individual gave customers an impression of being “unfit.”53 The victim brought the case to a labor arbitration committee, but they ruled in favor of the employer.54 In both of these landmark cases, the lack of legislation prohibiting discrimination based on sexual orientation or gender identity was a key factor in the decisions by the court and labor committee.
According to a directive issued by the Guangdong Province Public Security Bureau, post-operative transsexuals are allowed to update their gender identity on household registration and identity cards. However, they may face difficulties when updating their gender identity on academic records or other documents. Such inconsistency among identification documents may cause challenges for LGBT individuals in finding employment. Furthermore, there are no signals from the government that these policies will be implemented nationally.

**Discrimination in Schools & Universities**

Homophobia and discrimination remain widespread in China, with gender-nonconforming students routinely subjected to bullying. According to a 2015 survey published by the Chinese Journal of Clinical Psychology, 40.7 percent of gender-nonconforming students were bullied, nearly 35 percent were verbally threatened, and almost all of them experienced some degree of depression afterwards. The measures taken by school authorities to suppress the growing presence of LGBT students on campuses, and the lack of awareness of gender diversity contribute to this problem.

Many colleges refused to allow students to register LGBT student associations on campus, thus preventing them from openly recruiting members, accessing facilities and resources, and conducting activities. Schools often restrict activities or events that promote gender diversity, and even repress signs of public LGBT presence on campus. In 2016, university officials in Guangdong Province threatened to refuse to give a woman her diploma after she was proposed to by her girlfriend on campus and photos of them were posted online, among other forms of harassment.

Biased materials used in higher education course curricula exacerbate discrimination against LGBT individuals. Despite the 2001 removal of homosexuality and bisexuality from the classification of mental disorders, textbooks continue to teach the opposite. According to a 2014 study conducted by a LGBT rights NGO, 40 percent of books used for psychology or mental health classes in colleges still referred to homosexuality as a form of sexual perversion and 50 percent of the materials included introductions to “conversion therapy.” In 2016, a lesbian college student sued the Ministry of Education for failing to recall textbooks used for professional examinations and college curriculum that still label homosexuality as a mental disorder and provided information on “conversion therapy.” Such textbooks were published as recently as in 2013, and contain discriminatory and outdated information.

**Conflicting Provisions Undermine Protections for People With HIV/AIDS & Hepatitis B**

Chinese ministerial regulations continue to conflict with national laws relevant to the protection of individuals with HIV/AIDS, thus undermining enforcement of the legal protections in those laws. China’s Employment Promotion Law prohibits employers from refusing a candidate’s employment on the basis that the individual is a carrier of any infectious pathogen, except in jobs that are prone to facilitate the spread of infectious diseases (Article 30). However, the law does not define or list the type of employment that is considered “prone to facilitate the spread of infectious diseases,” resulting in loopholes for employers to discriminate against individuals.
with HIV/AIDS or Hepatitis B. Furthermore, directives and regulations passed by lower level administrative bodies openly violate the non-discrimination provision. Regulations such as “Standards on Physical Examination of Civil Servants,” “Standards on Physical Examination Relating to Employment,” and “Implementation Measures of the Prevention and Control of Infectious Diseases Act” prohibit individuals with HIV/AIDS or Hepatitis B from working in certain fields, violating their right to equal employment.

The proposal for the “Employment Anti-Discrimination Law” excluded guarantees for individuals infected with HIV/AIDS or Hepatitis B, leaving these marginalized groups out of much-needed protection for equal employment under the law.

The conflict between national laws and local regulations has undermined victims of employment discrimination in seeking legal redress, particularly in getting courts to accept lawsuits. There has only been seven known cases involving employment discrimination related to HIV/AIDS status, and the courts denied a hearing in three of these cases. In one case, court authorities claimed such employment discrimination fell out of its jurisdiction, while a local court rejected all requests for litigation in another case. In the third case, after the plaintiff filed an appeal, the victim was granted a hearing and received some compensation. In June 2016, the seventh case, Guangzhou Labor Arbitration Committee ruled against a victim of employment discrimination, citing an outdated version of “Prevention and Control of Infectious Diseases Act.”

Suggestions

- Expedite adoption of proposed “Employment Anti-Discrimination Law” and ensure prohibitions against discrimination based on sexual orientation, gender identity, and HIV/AIDS or hepatitis B status are included and robustly enforced;

- Adhere to international standards by completely depathologizing homosexuality in the Chinese Classification of Medical Disorders-3, as well as in school education curricula, and follow the Yogyakarta Principles to ensure sexual orientation and gender identity are incorporated into public education;

- Ban “gay conversion therapy” treatments throughout China, penalize hospitals or clinics that utilize the “therapy,” and provide remedies to individuals who were forced to undergo the illegal therapy.
3.3. Rights of the Child

**29 Recommendations Assessed:**
186.56 (South Africa), 77 (Mauritius), 79 (United Arab Emirates), 80 (Ethiopia), 82 (Italy), 83 (Finland), 84 (Central African Republic), 86 (Mexico), 87 (Slovenia), 94 (Canada), 96 (Romania), 98 (Botswana), 135 (Egypt), 183 (Russia), 205 (Chad), 206 (Portugal), 207 (Singapore), 208 (Eritrea), 209 (Russia), 210 (Senegal), 211 (Chad), 212 (Italy), 213 (Lesotho), 214 (Republic of Korea), 215 and 216 (South Sudan), 218 (Zimbabwe), 244 (Namibia), and 245 (Yemen)

**China’s Replies:**

**27 recommendations accepted**
56, 77, 79, 80, 83, 84, 86, 87, 96, 98, 135, 183, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 218, 244 & 245

**3 already implemented**
83, 96 & 98

**2 recommendations not accepted**
82 & 94

**NGO assessment:**

China has partially implemented recommendations 77, 79, 80, 94, 209, 214 & 215, and has not implemented the other 22 recommendations

---

**Ineffective Measures Leaving Children Exposed to Exploitation & Violence**

Child labor, child trafficking, and sexual abuse remain serious issues in China. The government has not made significant progress in this area since the 2013 UPR, despite China’s claim that it “accepted and already implemented” Finland’s recommendation to “[c]reate national and local-level systems to protect children from all forms of exploitation, including child labour” (186.83).⁷¹

The Chinese government has made some attempts at protecting children at the legislative and regulatory levels, including issuing opinions and joint circulars to enhance safeguards, and amending the Criminal Law (CL) to increase punishments for defenders. Both new provisions and existing laws on the protection of children’s rights, however, critically lack concrete and specific stipulations to ensure effective enforcement, supervision, evaluation, and accountability. This is largely due to the lack of political will to establish a fully integrated system of laws and to hold law breakers accountable, and an independent complaint and redress mechanism. Public education and awareness raising efforts are also lacking.

The Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) and Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC) have repeatedly expressed concerns over the absence of comprehensive legislation, missing crucial data on child abuse and missing children, impunity for perpetrators, limited access to justice and public services, and lack of public awareness.⁷² The CRC positively noted the adoption in July 2011 of the National Plan for Child Development 2011-2020 (NPCD), but CRC was concerned about the lack of implementation mechanisms and the lack of participation of independent experts and non-governmental organizations.⁷³ The government has made insufficient efforts for raising public awareness of the issues, especially among law-enforcement and criminal justice personnel.

**Child Labor**

While no statistics on child labor in China have been released by the government, authorities from the China Youth Research Association estimated child laborers in urban areas to be
around two to three million children, based on middle school dropout rates published by the Ministry of Education. In many poor and ethnic minority regions, children, particularly girls, are more susceptible to becoming child laborers due to poverty, gender discrimination, and limited access to public services like education and state subsidies.

Problems contributing to the government’s failure to eradicate child labor include the lack of comprehensive preventative measures and weak enforcement. China’s Labor Law and Law on the Protection of Minors both clearly prohibit the hiring of children under the age of 16, and the Criminal Law was amended in 2002 to punish those who use children to do dangerous and hazardous work. However, China has no independent supervisory mechanisms that could ensure implementation of the law and credibly handle complaints to help prevent child labor. The government has also not provided strong support to public education programs or assistance to businesses, schools, and parents to stop this problem. Due to financial incentives given to schools by employers or brokers, namely taking a portion of students’ monthly salary as commission, the welfare and interests of students are often neglected. Employers who exploit student workers often evade inspection by school authorities. Government corruption is also a major factor that contributes to lack of enforcement of regulations and law.

In April 2016, five government ministries jointly issued “Administrative Measures for Internships at Vocational Schools” to provide more protection for student workers. However, the measures lack clear and effective mechanisms for supervision, complaints, and redress. Among other shortcomings, the measures include ambiguities that create loopholes for employers to evade providing benefits and insurance, and unclear employment status that makes legal redress difficult to pursue.

China accepted recommendation 83 and, in addition, stated, “China’s labour security organs at the state, provincial, municipal and county levels carry out routine inspection, report and special law enforcement activities and take eliminating child labour and protecting lawful rights and interests of minors as their key tasks.” In practice, however, offenders often avoid punishment, making impunity another reason for the persistence of child labor. Assistance for seeking redress from relevant government bodies is critically lacking. According to a labor rights NGO, in the past five years in Zhejiang Province, workers as young as 13 years old have been employed in physically dangerous jobs. When some children were injured, they were threatened into not filing complaints or, if they filed reports, they were forced to accept unfair settlements. When such accidents occur, authorities tend to blame the children or their parents for allowing children to work illegally. Even in cases where children have died as victims of work accidents, employers have only paid minimal fines.

**Child Trafficking**

The Chinese government has not released official statistics on the number of trafficked and missing children. Media reports focus on the subset of cases where rescues may have occurred. One State media report quoted the Ministry of Public Security as claiming it had successfully rescued 13,000 abducted children nationwide in 2014. Many factors have fueled China’s market of buying and selling children, including decades of government enforcement of strict birth control policies, failure to break down traditional gender preferences, lack of adequate
pensions for rural elderly, and an increasing number of left-behind children in rural areas due to parents migrating to urban cities in search for work. Many children are sold into forced labor, prostitution, forced marriages, and adoption. Child traffickers also profit from forcing trafficked children to engage in panhandling. Tens of millions of children have been left behind after their parents went to cities to look for work, with little parental care and protection from criminal abduction and abuses.

The government put out a National Action Plan (2013-2020) to combat human trafficking in 2013, but it has not taken effective measures to tackle the root causes. The Action Plan promised some new measures, especially the creation of a mechanism across multiple ministries to expose and report trafficking, and a plan to strengthen public education. However, the Action Plan fails to set up concrete targets with specific timelines for implementation of these measures. It did not include any concrete provisions to establish a nationwide data collection system to track human trafficking in the country, which is a key concern of the UN.

Existing laws and regulations contain loopholes and ineffective punitive measures against suppliers and customers in China, which have allowed buyers, abductors, traffickers, and sellers of children, in some cases their parents, to abuse children with little chance of criminal punishment. The Chinese criminal system only targets traffickers of children, who could face criminally punishment if convicted. Prior to 2015, buyers who bought children for adoption or forced marriages faced little consequences, unless they were found to be mistreating the child or obstructing police investigations. A 2015 amendment to the Criminal Law changed such an approach and new stipulations would give buyers a “light” punishment, though the cost to buyers remains low enough such that the law has little effect in deterring offenders. Though the government issued an opinion that included a stipulation to hold parents criminally accountable for selling their own children, the opinion has a loophole that allow parents to sell children without legal consequences if they are poor and do not intend to make a profit. Chinese media reported in 2015 that about 50 percent of all children in trafficking cases were sold by their parents.

**Sexual Abuse**

The government has not taken effective measures to protect children, especially young girls, from sexual abuse. The government has not made enough efforts to document and provide data to build up an understanding of the scale of the abuses and to identify the problems. The government did not accept and did not implement Italy’s recommendation to “[i]ncrease efforts to gather data on child abuse with the aim of supporting policy formulation process” (82), while it has continued to refuse to disclose data on child abuse. Chinese officials acknowledged this problem during the 2013 CRC review, saying, “[n]o specific statistics of cases of sexual violence and rape against children are available,” while officials also indicated that there were thousands of criminal cases each year involving sexual abuse of minors. According to a report by the China Children’s Culture and Arts Foundation, only 340 cases of sexual abuses suffered by children under 14 years old were publicized in 2015. Due to the lack of education on sexual abuse and little knowledge on the part of victims or parents about how to report such incidents to police, the actual number of victims likely far exceeds the number of reported cases. CRC noted the “limited public accessibility to reliable and comprehensive statistical data,” and recommended the government review state secrecy laws in order to ensure that data is available.
In one positive step, in an amendment to the Criminal Law in 2015, the government removed the crime of “prostituting minor girls” and began to instead hold offenders accountable for the crime of “rape” in cases of sexual abuse and prostitution of underage girls. However, the government has not provided sufficient support to education programs to raise public awareness of this crime. In addition, there is not a confidential and impartial system for reporting and investigating reports of sexual abuse of minors.

Meanwhile, the government has obstructed and even retaliated against families or women’s rights activists seeking accountability for alleged perpetrators of rape, sexual assault, abuse, or forced prostitution of minors. One case involved a rape victim, an 11-year-old girl, in Hunan Province, whose mother was determined to bring the perpetrators to justice. The ordeal the mother met with legal and political obstacles that prohibited her pursuit of justice, an experience that has unfortunately been representative. In another case, women’s rights activist Ye Haiyan (叶海燕) and human rights lawyer Wang Yu (王宇) tried to draw public attention to a serious case of sexual assault of many girls, aged 11 to 14, by a school principal. Partly in retaliation for this advocacy, Ye and Wang faced harassment and criminal persecution.

**Violence Tied to Birth-Control Policy**

Under a new birth control regulation put into effect on January 1, 2016, the government is allowing all married couples in China to have two children without financial penalty. The State, however, continues to restrict couples’ reproductive freedom, and the loosened policy relaxation does not sufficiently help combat abuses against disabled children and girls due to entrenched social discrimination.

Influenced by social biases toward women and persons with disabilities, some pregnant women feel pressured to abort fetuses or kill or abandon their disabled or female infants. This is partly possible because some ultrasound tests are done to detect female gender or possible birth defects, even though such testing is illegal in China. The government consistently denied that the more strict “one couple per birth” policy resulted in the deaths or abandonment of disabled children. Yet, the CRC continued to raise serious concerns in its 2013 review about “infanticide, particularly of girls and children with disabilities” and “the widespread abandonment of …children with disabilities and girls, mainly due to the State party’s family planning policy and discrimination and stigma attached to children with disabilities and girls.”

China has the world’s most imbalanced sex ratio, according to the World Economic Forum’s 2015 Global Gender Gap report. In responding to Canada’s recommendation on addressing the sex imbalance and missing women and girls, the government claimed “There is no such situation as many women and children missing in China.” According to the UN Population Fund, women that are not born due to gender-biased sex selection are considered “missing.”

**Persistent Under-registration of Children**

The Chinese government accepted the two UPR recommendations, by Mexico (86) and Slovenia (87), that called for the protection of the rights of children by ensuring the proper registration at
birth of all boys and girls in China. However, the government has not implemented these recommendations.

Some children in China are still deprived of their right to be legally registered and their entitlement to public services and government subsidies. Government policies on family planning and national laws limit the number of births couples can have, and create a system of fines for out-of-quota children, which can lead parents to not register such children for fear of stiff financial penalties. Children who have not been registered within the household registration (hukou) system cannot access basic social services, such as healthcare, education, housing and social security benefits, which also negatively affect their employment eligibility later in life. The latest available statistics show that there are approximately 13 million people in China that are not registered. In 2015, researchers estimate that 60 percent of such individuals had been born either as out-of-quota children, born to unmarried women, or abandoned as babies.

CRC expressed “serious concerns” in 2013 that China’s family planning policies and financial penalties “significantly deter parents or guardians from registering their children” and that “the family household registration (hukou) to which the birth registration is attached impedes birth registration of children of migrant workers.” The Committee recommended the removal of all penalties and the abandonment of the hukou system. CEDAW reiterated its recommendation to China to remove all barriers to the registration of children in its 2014 Concluding Observations.

The relaxing of the one-child policy in January 2016 should improve the chance for some children to be registered at birth. However, provisions remain that establish financial penalties—called “social maintenance fees”—for having children outside of the state quota. Parents face large financial penalties for having more than two children or children born out of wedlock. The fee is set by local governments and is, on average, three to six times the annual salary of the parents.

In late 2015, the State Council called for all Chinese citizens to be registered and forbade local governments from setting pre-conditions for obtaining a hukou. However, the “Opinion on Resolving Issues of Hukou Registration for Persons with no Hukou” does not have the force of law, and detailed regulations have not been released; thus, enforcement and implementation are a concern. Furthermore, it is not clear if individuals registering for a hukou would have to pay a fine afterwards, thereby harming the effectiveness of the policy change. In Guangdong, as well as other provinces, authorities have announced they delinked registering for a hukou with paying the “social maintenance fee” after the State Council directive. Provincial officials, however, also said they would still demand parents pay the fee, and would apply for a court order to enforce payment, if needed.

Unequal Access to Education for Rural & Migrants’ Children

Our assessment finds that the Chinese government partially implemented Russia (209) and South Sudan’s (215) recommendations concerning increase of resources for education in rural areas. It also partially implemented the Republic of Korea’s recommendation (214) to “continue to make efforts to promote the right to education for children of migrant workers from rural areas.”
However, the government has not implemented recommendations made by Chad (211) and Italy (212) on “fully ensuring the right of education” for the children of migrant workers. It also did not implement Lesotho’s recommendation (213) to “continue to attach great importance to safeguarding the compulsory education rights of children accompanying rural migrant workers.” The government also did not implement South Sudan’s recommendation to “better the conditions of urban schools, especially in the poor neighborhoods” (216).

China’s household registration system has led to the denial of equal education to children based on their rural or urban hukou residential registration status. Of particular concern has been the continued obstacles for children of rural migrants working in cities to receive education. Millions of China’s rural residents have moved from the countryside to cities in the past few decades. According to the last government census, there are approximately 261 million rural resident living in urban areas. Because their hukou remains attached to their rural residency, they and their children are denied government subsidized services and benefits for urban residents, including public school education.

While laws exist in China to protect the rights of migrant children to education, there is also a lack of clarity regarding enforcement. China’s 2006 Compulsory Education Law stipulated nine-year compulsory education for all children. Under Article 12 of the law, children of migrant workers are entitled to schooling of “equal conditions” in their place of residence, but local provinces and regions are given the power over enacting the regulations. As a result, migrant children’s access to public schools varies from region to region. Under Article 4 of the Ministry of Education’s “Provisional Measures for the Schooling of Migrant Children and Young People” (1998), local government bureaus of education must guarantee and provide the required compulsory education for migrant children. However, the regulations do not specify which government bodies are responsible to enforce the regulations or to punish violators. Despite a “non-discrimination” provision in its 2012 Law on Protection of Minors to protect children’s right to education, migrant children still face discrimination in accessing education.

One major struggle in providing equal education to migrant children and children in rural areas is the inadequate funding budgeted by or allocated from the government. The government systematically allocates more resources for schools in urban areas than in rural areas. As a direct impact of official campaigns to centralize schools, 50 percent of rural primary schools closed between 2000 to 2010, making education less accessible. As a result of severe shortage of resources for education in poor rural regions, combined with the problem of endemic corruption, schools in these places charge high fees for different services and school materials, creating an undue financial hardship for some parents despite the statute that all compulsory nine-year education should be “free.” Many rural schools have been forced to shut down due to a dwindling number of school-aged children, which is a result of both family-planning policies (that have suppressed population growth) and the fact that large numbers of rural children end up migrating to cities with their parents.

The Chinese government reported to CRC in 2012 that education spending accounted for 3.48 percent of the gross national product, a figure the CRC considered “inadequate.” The Committee expressed concerns that, due to the dependence on “provincial and lower-level resources,” this resulted in “sharp inequities in public resource allocations” for “the implementation of children’s
rights” to education. CRC was particularly concerned about disparities in access to education between urban and rural children (and children of migrant workers), and the harassment and forced closure of privately run or community schools for such children. Similarly, following its review of China in 2014, CESCR stated “with concern that unequal geographic distribution of funds is increasing the disparities in access to, and availability of, education between urban and rural areas,” and that “compulsory education is still not free and is often unaffordable for children in rural areas and poor urban areas.”

Local government regulations also hamper efforts for migrant children to receive free public education. In 2015, the Beijing Municipal Education Committee released regulations on compulsory education, which allow schools to demand up to five different documents for children whose families do not have a Beijing residential registration before they could enroll. As a result of policies like this and high fees, schools districts erected hurdles to make it almost impossible for migrant parents to get their children enrolled in schools. One school in Beijing required 28 different certificates for children without Beijing residential registration, according to a 2015 report in the State-run People’s Daily.

For migrant laborers’ children to stay back in rural villages is hardly a viable solution. The “left-behind” children, compared to rural children who live with their migrant parents, suffer from psychological and social issues that lead to other problems, including juvenile delinquency, poor academic performance, and suicide. CRC has expressed concern that, “due to the restrictive hukou policy, many migrant parents face the difficult choice of leaving their children behind.” Merging rural schools and building more boarding schools for left-behind children are not solutions to the abandonment of children, as the CRC pointed out. Similarly, CESCR stated in 2014 “that, reportedly, owing to the hukou system, an estimated number of 55 to 60 million children have been left behind by their parents in the rural areas.” In response, the State Council issued an opinion in 2016 that called for more research to better understand the conditions of rural children who are left behind by parents who have gone to cities to seek work, often since the children cannot access public services in urban areas.

Confronting these problems, parents of migrant children and civil society groups have experimented with opening private or community schools for these children. The schools—commonly known as “migrant schools”—do not receive state funding, face severe funding shortages, lack qualified teachers, and are often housed in unsafe buildings. These schools risk being shut down by authorities for failing to pass safety inspections or due to licensing issues. The government has taken a hard line against civil society efforts to address the problems of migrant children’s education. For instance, legal activist Xu Zhiyong (许志永) received a four-year prison sentence in 2014 after he organized protests and petitions to the Ministry of Education from parents of migrant children who were being denied equal education. The court verdict against Xu accused him of “exploiting social issues of great public concern” in order to “gather crowds to disturb order in public places.”

In recent years, the government has taken some legislative steps to tackle unequal access to education by improving funding, though there have yet to be noticeable effects. At a State Council meeting in November 2015, Chinese Premier Li Keqiang announced a unified education fund that would guarantee compulsory education in rural and urban areas, with the first round of
changes to go into effect in the spring of 2016. The new fund can be seen as a response to the CRC recommendation to increase budget allocations of resources to rural areas to be used on education of children. However, the government has not created a mechanism, as called for by the Committee, to “monitor and evaluate the efficacy, adequacy and equitability of the distribution of resources across provinces, prefectures and counties in mainland China.”

In inadequately providing for education for migrant children, the government has fallen short of achieving protections outlined in its National Human Rights Action Plan (2012-2015), namely that China “will guarantee equal right to education for children of migrant workers, mainly relying on full-time public schools in cities they migrate to” and “allocat[e] educational resources in [a] balanced manner.”

**Suggestions**

- Take effective measures, including establishing national and local-level systems to protect children from child labor, child trafficking, and sexual abuse;

- Strictly enforce the International Labor Organization’s standard of 18 as the minimum age for admission to any type of employment or work that is likely to jeopardize the health and safety of minors;

- Abolish the *hukou* system to protect the rights of all boys and girls born in rural or urban China to enjoy equal access to government subsidies and social benefits;

- Lift state birth control quotas and remove all forms of penalties that deter parents or guardians from registering children at birth;

- Enforce the right to nine-year compulsory education for migrants’ children and rural children in law and practice;

- Establish a mechanism to monitor and evaluate the efficacy, adequacy, and equitability of the distribution of resources across the country, with particular focus on ensuring adequate resources reaching rural, remote, and minority areas;

- Establish a centralized and publicly available data system to collect comprehensive and disaggregated statistics on indicators of children’s rights protection;

- Take effective measures to combat social discrimination against children with disabilities.
According to the latest comprehensive government statistics, 6.34 percent of the Chinese population are recognized as having some disability, and the vast majority of persons with disability—75 percent—live in rural and economically underdeveloped areas.\textsuperscript{144} Households with disabled persons have lower income levels than the national average, and many live in poverty, but government assistance only reaches around 10 percent of this population.\textsuperscript{145} The majority of disabled persons are over the age of 60, and the illiteracy rate for disabled persons aged 15 and older is 43.29 percent.\textsuperscript{146}

Statistics from the government body China Disabled Person’s Federation (CDPF) show that the rate of disabled persons who found new work has been falling since 2013.\textsuperscript{147} In rural areas, disabled children are far more likely to be deprived of education, resulting in their high rates of illiteracy. Once they reach working age, disabled individuals face barriers in finding employment. Compared to conditions for men, discrimination against disabled women in areas of education and employment is even worse.\textsuperscript{148}

The Chinese Constitution includes an article on supporting disabled persons (Article 45).\textsuperscript{149} In 2008, China ratified the Convention on Persons with Disabilities (Convention), and revised the Law on the Protection of Disabled Persons (LPDP) the same year. However, national law and regulations still do not meet crucial international standards. Persons with disabilities, especially children, continue to face discrimination and obstacles, and lack basic access and rights protections.

**Some Positive Moves**

China’s record on protecting and promoting the rights of persons with disabilities has seen some improvement since the second UPR. In our assessment, we consider that six of the recommendations that China accepted on disability rights have been partially implemented.\textsuperscript{150}

Since 2013, China has taken some steps towards improving legislation and policy related to disability rights. The government put out draft amendments to include measures toward inclusive education in 2013, though the proposed changes (to the “Regulations of Education of Persons with Disabilities in China”) have not yet been adopted.\textsuperscript{151} When making public the draft amendments of the regulations in February 2013, China’s State Council released an explanation of the proposals, in which it referenced the Convention in explaining additional language about adhering to the “principle of inclusive education” to Article 4 of the regulations.\textsuperscript{152}
Unfortunately, the proposed amendments also promote further development of the parallel, segregated school system for disabled children.

In August 2015, the China Disabled Person’s Federation and other government bodies jointly issued the “Opinion on Strengthening the Social Assistance for Persons with Disabilities.”\textsuperscript{155} However, as one disability rights activist pointed out, the Opinion perpetuates a “charity mentality” that emphasizes one-off assistance programs rather than a long-term approach that can help the disabled bring about and/or experience sustainable life improvements.\textsuperscript{154} In September 2015, the Ministry of Education released a test version of new “Standards for Special Education Teaching Professionals,”\textsuperscript{155} which expands the definition of “special education teacher” in order to improve the quality of special education, while also lending support to the idea of “inclusive education.”\textsuperscript{156}

**Failures to Meet International Standards in Law & Practice**

In 2008, China ratified the Convention on Persons with Disabilities (Convention) and also revised the Law on the Protection of Disabled Persons (LPDP). However, LPDP still does not conform to the Convention. Specifically, the LPDP does not include a comprehensive legal definition of “discrimination.”\textsuperscript{157} The legal definition of a person with disabilities in the LPDP uses medical terminology, an issue that the Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (Committee) “noted” in its review of China, in 2012, when it also expressed concern that the language used contributes to the lack of a coherent strategy to “implement the human rights model of disability.” The Committee called on China to provide legal definitions of “discrimination” and “reasonable accommodation” in national legislation.\textsuperscript{158}

Because of the absence of a comprehensive legal definition of “discrimination,” many government regulations continue to contain discriminatory language against disabled persons. For example, despite a legal prohibition on discriminating against disabled individuals in employment,\textsuperscript{159} the “Standards for Civil Service Recruitment Examination (provisional)” require all applicants to undertake a physical examination.\textsuperscript{160} According to these standards, applicants for government civil servant jobs must be certified as not having certain types of diseases or visual/hearing impairments. As a result, many applicants with disabilities have no chance of passing the examination. Some of the diseases listed in the Standards that would prevent an individual from obtaining a civil service position include: significant visual impairment, hearing aids of a certain range, sleepwalking, chronic bronchitis, emphysema, asthma, diabetes, genital herpes, among others.\textsuperscript{161} In concluding its 2014 review of China, the Committee on Economic Social and Cultural Rights expressed its concern that “the high rate of unemployment among persons with disabilities persists and that the existing disparities in relation to wages have not been effectively addressed.”\textsuperscript{162}

While the Convention requires State parties to “ensure an inclusive education system at all levels,”\textsuperscript{163} a sentiment echoed in Costa Rica’s recommendation, the Chinese government has continued to promote segregated special schools for children with disabilities.\textsuperscript{164} China amended the Compulsory Education Law in 2006 to include for the first time a provision on allowing disabled children to attend mainstream schools, provided they are “capable of receiving regular education.” Schools that refuse to admit such children can face penalties.\textsuperscript{165} However, the draft
amendments to the Regulations on Education of Persons with Disabilities (2012) include provisions on building more segregated schools and establishing a panel of experts to decide where to place children in schools. The reality is that education opportunities for disabled children have been unevenly developed across the country, causing discrimination against disabled children to persist within the public educational system.

The Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities raised concerns in 2012 over the “high number of special schools and the State party’s policy of actively developing these schools,” and said it was “especially worried that in practice only students with certain kinds of impairments (physical disabilities or mild visual disabilities) are able to attend mainstream education, while all other children with disabilities are forced to either enrol in a special school or drop out altogether.”

The Committee also recommended that the government create a comprehensive and inclusive national action plan to guarantee the rights of persons with disabilities, which was echoed by Brazil in its UPR recommendation. In its response to Brazil’s recommendation (186.100), the government claims that it “accepted and already implemented” the recommendation, stating that, “China has formulated and implemented six national five-year work programs on disability. After the adoption of Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, China has integrated the convention’s purposes and principles into its latest five-year work program on disability to its aim and principles.” However, government “work programs” are not the same as an “national action plans,” which the UN describes as plans identifying steps for the government to improve the promotion of human rights. Furthermore, these “work programs” have not been open for civil society participation; only government ministries and the China Disabled Person’s Federation, a State body, have been included in the drafting and implementation. This exclusive emphasis on the CDPF as evidence of civil society participation led the Committee to express concern over the lack of inclusion of organizations outside of the CDFP in implementing the Convention.

The government has included chapters on disability rights in its two most recent National Human Rights Action Plans (2012-2015) and (2016-2020); however, these plans cannot be considered comprehensive and inclusive due to the restrictions on participation from independent NGOs and civil society groups working on disability rights. Furthermore, in 2013, the Chinese government began crackdowns on civil society organizations, including some NGOs working on disability rights, making it more challenging for disabled persons to have a voice in and contribute to government action plans.

China’s birth control policies have contributed to the persistence of abandoned disabled children, a problem raised in Uruguay’s recommendation (101) in 2013 and by the Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities in 2012. The Committee has urged China to take measures to fight the widespread stigma in relation to boys and girls with disabilities and revise its strict family planning policy, so as to combat the root causes for the abandonment of boys and girls with disabilities. In October 2015, the government relaxed its “one child” policy and allowed couples to have two children. However, the “two-child” birth control policy still upholds State control over reproductive rights. The “two-child” policy thus has only a limited impact in improving the situation for disabled children. According to a State media report in May 2015,
there were 100,000 orphans in China, of whom 90 percent were disabled. As one disability rights activist explained, legal adoption is a complicated process in China, and the elderly traditionally rely on children to care for them, which adds more difficulties for families to adopt disabled children. According to Chinese government statistics from 2014, only 4.38 percent of disabled children are orphans raised by their non-birth families. Since the mid-2000s, the government has promoted two programs to assist disabled orphans, achieving modest success, but it has not created new assistance programs since the second UPR.

The Committee on the Rights of the Child also made recommendations to China over the government’s responsibility to protect the rights of children with disabilities. Following its 2013 review of the implementation of the Convention, the Committee recommended that China take a human rights-based approach to solving this problem, and specifically, urged the government to “repeal all provisions which result in de facto discrimination against children with disabilities.” However, the government has not repealed any such legal provisions since that time.

Suggestions

- Fully protect the rights and interests of disabled persons by introducing a human rights model of disability into national disability law and policy;
- Introduce a comprehensive and inclusive national plan of action to guarantee the rights of persons with disabilities, in accordance with international human rights treaties;
- Reform family planning policies with the aim of combatting the deep-rooted causes of abandonment of boys and girls with disabilities;
- Allocate sufficient State funding and provide equitable medical care and other access facilities in rural regions for disabled persons;
- Include all persons with disabilities, in particular children, in all areas of society;
- Combat discrimination and abandonment of children with disabilities by including in national law a definition of discrimination and abolishing discriminatory law provisions and regulations;
- Take effective measures to ensure that school-age disabled children receive inclusive compulsory education.
3.5. Discrimination Against Rural Residents

During the 2013 UPR, China accepted all the recommendations concerning equal protection of basic social benefits and services, which specifically focused on: strengthening the provision of public services and benefits for groups vulnerable to discrimination; alleviating rural-urban disparities; and combatting poverty. However, our assessment shows that the government has only partially implemented 10 recommendations.¹⁸⁰

China has been a party to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights since 2001. The government has often emphasized its achievements in economic development, including lifting hundreds of millions out of poverty, as evidence of its protection of social and economic rights. Recognizing such progress, the Special Rapporteur on extreme poverty and human rights said after an August 2016 visit that China has made huge progress in poverty reduction.¹⁸¹ However, the SR noted challenges ahead, such as the high levels of inequality, and that China needs to put into place meaningful accountability mechanisms for the full realization of economic rights. The Committee on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (CESCR) expressed concern in its 2014 Concluding Observations over large disparities in living standards among different regions and between urban and rural areas.¹⁸²

In our assessment, we have found the continued discrimination against rural residents as a failure of the Chinese government to protect economic and social rights. In particular, the “household registration” system, medical insurance programs, pension system, unequal income levels, and poverty relief all discriminate against rural residents.

Limited Reform of the Discriminatory “Household Registration” Against Rural Migrants

A major reason for discrimination in China is the implementation of the “household registration” (hukou) system, which leads to a lack of rights protections for certain groups, especially the rural population. The system enforces segregated legal registration of Chinese citizens into either rural or urban households. An individual’s household registration status determines their level of
access to government subsidies, benefits, and public services, with over 60 different categories of services and benefits tied to the system.\textsuperscript{183}

In concluding its 2014 review of China, CESCR expressed regret about inadequate protections of rights guaranteed under the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights partly due to the defects of China’s \textit{hukou} system; the Committee observed that “the State party does not have a comprehensive anti-discrimination law that protects all marginalized and disadvantaged individuals and groups in their enjoyment of economic, social and cultural rights.”\textsuperscript{184}

Internal migrants—specifically, those who move from rural areas to cities for work—are among the groups who face the most serious discrimination under the household registration system. At present, migrant workers and their family members are rarely able to obtain urban registration, which is required for them to obtain access to government subsidized services and benefits provided to registered urban residents, including health care, education, and employment opportunities.\textsuperscript{185}

CESCR stated its concern that migrant workers, “particularly those who lack household registration, continue to be de facto discriminated against in the fields of employment, social security, health care and education,” and expressed alarm “about the significant persistence of disparities between the urban and rural populations and among rural-to-urban migrant workers, in relation to access to and the quality and amount of benefits.”\textsuperscript{186} Despite recommendations by treaty bodies as well as by Member States during China’s 2009 and 2013 UPRs, the government has not signed an important human rights instrument related to migrants’ rights—the International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families (ICRMW).\textsuperscript{187}

Though limited and gradual, some steps have been taken by the government towards reforming the \textit{hukou} system. China’s State Council laid out guidelines in 2014 for some rural migrants to obtain urban household registration by 2020.\textsuperscript{188} However, concerns remain about the potential effectiveness of the proposed changes. Under the plan, the number of migrants who would obtain local residency by 2020 is estimated at 100 million, but that number only accounts for about one-third of China’s total migrant population.\textsuperscript{189} The anticipated gap—in the total number of migrants compared to those who can obtain residency—is partly because of the government’s “gradual” approach; reform will first be implemented in smaller cities, even though a large portion of migrants are in major municipalities.\textsuperscript{190} The State Council plan also does not guarantee that residents who have never obtained any \textit{hukou} registration whatsoever—mostly due to being born “out-of-quota” or out of wedlock in violation of family planning laws (see Section 3.3)—can ever get legally recognized residency status, meaning that such individuals will continue to be excluded from access to state benefits and social services.\textsuperscript{191}

A 2015 State Council regulation has allowed migrant workers to apply for urban \textit{hukou} registration in the city where they live and work, but only after they have obtained a temporary resident permit and fulfilled other requirements.\textsuperscript{192} The application process for temporary residential permits is open to individuals who live or work for more than six months in the city where they apply for resident permits.\textsuperscript{193} So far, the process of obtaining an urban \textit{hukou} is
localized and limited in scope. Some measures have been applied on a “trial” basis in major urban areas with sizable populations of migrant workers, like Beijing, Guangzhou, and Shanghai. In such places, however, the criteria for obtaining urban residency registration is highly selective; registration is restricted to so-called “desirable” and “suitable” migrants who meet requirements based on their employment record, education level, and housing situation, and is difficult to obtain for the majority of migrant workers.

A proposed provision in the draft “Anti-Discrimination Employment Law”—to prohibit considering household registration status in recruitment and hiring—offers potentially positive reform of the discrimination against rural migrants who seek work in cities. Though the proposal has been stagnant since 2008, there has been a new effort in 2016 to push it through the legislative process. If this legislation were adopted, employment discrimination against migrants would be illegal. This change should also help to fill a void in China’s Labor Law and Promotion of Employment Law, neither of which clearly prohibits employment discrimination against rural registration holders.

Considering these gradual but still inadequate measures, we assess that the government has only partially implemented Iran’s recommendation to “increase its effort to address the issues of rural and urban migrant workers and their families in a more effective way.”

Reform of Discriminatory Medical Insurance & Pension Systems Long Overdue

In China’s 2012-2015 National Human Rights Action Plan (NHRAP), the government stated that it would “…promote the equal coverage of the social relief system in both rural and urban areas to improve the social security level” and reform “the basic medical insurance system to make medical insurance basically cover both rural and urban residents” as well as “the old-age social security system that covers both urban and rural residents.” The government reported in its 2016 assessment of the NHRAP that it had achieved a 95 percent rate of participation in basic medical insurance coverage. However, despite these alleged achievements, the system is still largely discriminatory against rural residents.

Medical Insurance

Severe underfunding by the government of the social insurance system has forced China’s urban poor and rural residents to rely heavily on their personal savings to cover medical expenses and old-age care. According to a 2015 World Bank report, China’s population in poor rural areas have little access to the country’s health care infrastructure, even as the government has put forth programs to improve such conditions in the countryside. According to available government data, Chinese employers have largely failed to comply with China’s Labor Contract Law and Social Insurance Law in providing subsidies to rural migrant workers so that they can afford to enroll in basic insurance programs. By 2015, or four years after the Social Insurance Law went into effect, on average, only 20 percent of migrant workers have been enrolled in programs for a basic pension, 19 percent in medical insurance, 15 percent in unemployment insurance, and 27 percent in work-related injury insurance.
In a move to narrow the gaps of medical insurance between urban and rural residents, and between those with government jobs and small private businesses, including the urban poor, the government in January 2016 announced the decision to create the “Unified Basic Medical Insurance System for Urban and Rural Residents.” This system has the potential to provide equitable payment standards and scope of medical insurance coverage for all Chinese citizens. If implemented successfully, the system would partially address the problem of systemic discrimination against rural residents in protecting their right to health. Under the hukou system, rural residents have been eligible for much lower levels of health insurance coverage—with practically no government or employment contribution—than that offered to urban residents, especially government employees.

As China’s elderly population has grown and medical care become costlier at the same time, the country has experienced a decline in the number of workers paying into social security. This general trend due to demographic changes has more serious consequences for rural residents and urban poor. The new medical insurance system, which merged the urban and rural divides under the previous system, could potentially shrink the widening insurance funding gap.

Chinese academics have pointed out that the new medical insurance system’s efficacy hinges on several factors. First, the central government must monitor and assess the system’s implementation, since the insurance schemes will be run on decentralized local levels. Second, the system must prioritize benefitting the poor in both urban and rural areas, since they are more likely to experience “catastrophic health spending”—medical costs so high that they lead to poverty. Third, the system should provide for “consistent” quality and effectiveness of health services, with an emphasis on developing rural health care delivery. Finally, benefits also should come with the option to make them “portable,” allowing rural-to-urban migrants to use their health insurance in the cities to which they move.

Inequitable Pensions Systems

The government responded to Mali’s UPR recommendation (186.97) that China “continue improving the pension system covering urban and rural areas” with the claim that it “accepted and already implemented” such improvements. However, this recommendation has only been partially implemented as rural-urban discrimination in the pension system persists.

Jobs concentrated in urban areas, such as those in the civil service or large privately-owned or state-run corporations receive higher government pensions and company contributions to retirement savings. Rural residents, on the other hand, predominantly work in farming or work in small businesses, which has far fewer retirement savings plans. Consequently, pensions for urban residents have been much larger than for those retired from farming or small businesses.

An academic survey published in 2016 in China found that retirees from government and state-owned enterprises receive pensions that are, on average, 22.5 times higher than rural retirees; government and state enterprises retirees receive on average 3,174.69 RMB (approx. 470 USD) per month per person, and all urban retirees receive on average 1,387.20 RMB (approx. 200 USD), while rural retirees receive only on average 141.21 RMB (approx. 20 USD) per month.
In the past few decades, the Chinese government has significantly rolled back state control over the economy and allowed market forces and private enterprises to play important roles in the country’s economic growth. Consequently, many people have worked their way out of poverty. In this sense, the government has partially implemented Mauritius (188) and Serbia (193)’s UPR recommendations, namely, to eradicate poverty and bridge rural-urban gaps.

China’s main strategy to alleviate poverty—prioritizing rapid urbanization and industrial development in cities—has increased urban-rural income disparities, as population groups in remote, rural, and ethnic minority regions have been “left behind.” However, poverty continues to affect large population groups in China. In October 2014, a senior Chinese government official acknowledged that over 200 million of the country’s citizens—or about 15 percent of China’s population—are impoverished, as measured by the extreme poverty line set by the World Bank (living on 1.25 USD or less per day).\(^\text{212}\)

Income inequality in China remains severe, even as China’s Gini index, measuring the degree of inequality in income distribution, has decreased from a peak level in 2008.\(^\text{213}\) According to a 2013 World Bank report, China is among the 25 percent least equal countries in the world.\(^\text{214}\) A UN Development Programme report found that, as of 2014, China ranked 90\(^\text{th}\) of 188 ranked countries in terms of its human development index, a component of which is per capita income.\(^\text{215}\)

A disturbing reality in China is the high rates of suicide among the elderly, particularly in rural areas. Overall, China’s elderly commit suicide more often than their counterparts in other countries. Rural elderly are far more likely to take their own lives than Chinese in urban areas. In the past two decades, the suicide rate of Chinese elderly increased five-fold in rural areas, according to a study of 40 villages in 11 provinces conducted by a Chinese university.\(^\text{216}\) Some contributing factors are believed to be that the elderly become debilitated by illnesses and anguished about life in rural desolation, particularly since their children are unable to care for them.\(^\text{217}\) Many of these children are not physically present to offer support, since they are the only offspring in the family—due to enforcement of China’s past “one-child-per-family” policy (see Section 3.3)—and often have gone to urban areas to work and live.

China is also the only country in the world where the female suicide rate is higher than for males, and the overall suicide rate is four to five times higher in rural areas compared to urban ones.\(^\text{218}\) A tragic case of this little-known reality made headlines in 2006 after a rural woman in an impoverished region of Gansu Province killed her four children, all under seven years of age, and then committed suicide.\(^\text{219}\) Local government officials had reportedly stripped the mother of low-income subsidies in 2014—because she did not meet the official standard for eligibility for poverty relief—and the family apparently lacked any medical insurance.\(^\text{220}\)

While the Chinese government has promised to unify urban and rural standards for subsidies provided to low-income families, the localized programs have remained largely discriminatory against rural residents. According to one State media report, several Chinese municipalities (which include rural residents in their surrounding countryside) have taken steps to address this
problem by providing approximately the same level of subsidies to both urban and rural low-income families (dibao). Yet, more than 20 other cities continue to maintain a disparity between urban and rural subsidies for low-income families. For instance, in Tianjin Municipality, a rural low-income resident would receive 540 RMB (approx. 80 USD) per month, while an urban resident receives at least 705 RMB (approx. 100 USD) per month, or 165 RMB more than the rural resident; in the city of Zhengzhou, the difference in subsidy between rural and urban resident is 230 RMB (approx. 33 USD), with rural residents receiving 290 RMB (approx. 43 USD) compared to the 520 RMB (approx. 77 USD) per month that urban residents receive. (Rates as of July 1, 2015).

Double Discrimination Against Ethnic Minorities as Members of Rural Populations

Members of China’s ethnic minority populations in remote rural regions are also victims of the discriminatory hukou system and regional disparities in economic development, while their traditional livelihoods and cultures continue to come under threat. The household registration system has erected serious barriers for ethnic minorities to look for work in Han-majority cities in China’s most developed eastern region, while lack of opportunities and poverty exist at home. In one example, poverty is rampant in the remote mountainous areas in southwest China that hold a significant concentration of the ethnic Yi minority. Compounded problems, such as neglected schools, lack of healthcare access, inadequate transportation infrastructure, underfunded relief programs, drug addiction, and drug trafficking exist. State media rarely covers the conditions in the area, and an independent Chinese journalist interviewed for this report told us that the government prevented his team from reporting on conditions in the region.

The Chinese government has discriminated against ethnic Tibetans and Uyghurs by blocking members of these groups from obtaining employment opportunities. For example, authorities have instructed companies to refuse job applicants who hold household registration in the autonomous regions of Tibet and Xinjiang. In the Tibet Autonomous Region (TAR), Han Chinese have benefitted from government policies enforced since 2008, allowing them to acquire local residency and invest in business ventures and obtain loans. Tibetans in the TAR continue to experience de-facto discrimination in obtaining government jobs, as Tibetans are not able to take the civil service exam in the Tibetan language, and thus miss out on good benefits and social security protections.

China has not implemented recommendations by Togo (74), Bhutan (75), Russia (183), Palestine (175), and Morocco (191), all of which focused on protecting and raising the standard of living for the most vulnerable and marginalized in society, especially the rights of people living in rural areas. As the world’s second-largest economy, the Chinese government has the economic capacity to provide better and more equitable protections and relieve poverty in rural areas.

Suggestions

- Abolish the discriminatory household registration (hukou) system to ensure all citizens equal access to health care, employment, and other social services and benefits in line with principles of non-discrimination;
• Implement effective measures to set up programs targeting poverty alleviation in remote rural and ethnic minority areas, in order to provide socioeconomic services and benefits to the most marginalized population groups;

• Protect the rights of migrants by signing and ratifying the International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families.
There were two “poor” recommendations made in the area of women’s rights. Eritrea recommended that China “further expand and consolidate achievements made in promoting women’s rights and well-being in tandem with its policy and practice of protecting and promoting human dignity” (93). We regard this recommendation as “poor” because it assumes the existence of certain “achievements,” both as a matter of “policy and practice,” in “protecting and promoting human dignity.” In the absence of such achievements, judging by both the government’s admission to CEDAW and the “concluding observations” of CEDAW’s recent review, it makes little sense to ask the state to “expand” and “consolidate” them. In addition, Egypt recommended that China “maintain its effective protection for the family as the fundamental and natural unit of society” (135). This recommendation is “poor” since it contains a problematic presumption, namely that China has “effective protection” for the family. In fact, current laws and practices are not “effective” and do not provide adequate protection.

The government said it would: “Further guaranteeing the rights to employment and economic resources, and equal participation in State and social affairs by minority ethnic groups, women and other special groups.” UN General Assembly. “Note verbale dated 5 June 2013 from the Permanent Mission of China to the United Nations addressed to the President of the General Assembly,” A/68/90.

The government wrote in the state report: “Discrimination against women still exists in society; relevant laws are yet to be fully implemented in reality; women are not represented in significant numbers at senior levels of decision-making; women are still subjected to unequal treatment in village rules and customs in some rural areas in terms of resource allocation and benefits-sharing; many rural women’s job skills need to be further enhanced; women’s health services in remote areas need further improvement; violence against women still occurs; and there are still some women living in poverty.” Combined Seventh and Eighth Periodic Report of States Parties China to the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women, 2012, CEDAW/C/CHN/7-8, para. 52.

Information Office of the State Council of the People’s Republic of China, National Human Rights Action Plan (2012-2015), Chap. III, Sec 2., http://www.china-un.ch/eng/rqd/jble/953936.htm; In the assessment, no mention was made of improvements on gender discrimination or for equal pay for equal work. Instead, the government claimed “Women enjoy the equal right with men to employment” and cited as evidence that certain public servants have same age retirement levels as men and women, and that women account for 44.8 percent of all people employed in the country. Information Office of the State Council, Assessment Report on the Implementation of the National Human Rights Action Plan of China (2012-2015), June 2016, Chap. IV, Sec. 2, http://english.gov.cn/archive/white_paper/2016/06/15/content_281475372197438.htm.


Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), Concluding observations on the combined seventh and eighth periodic reports of China, CEDAW/C/CHN/CO/7-8, November 2014, paras. 36-37.


Women are prohibited from working in underground mining jobs, jobs involving physical labor at Grade 4 or above, or work that requires lifting 20 kilograms at least six times an hour, or any job that requires lifting 25 or more kilograms. Women who are menstruating are prohibited from working jobs in high altitudes, low temperatures, and cold water, or that involve physical labor. State Council of the People’s Republic of China, “Special Rules on the Labour Protection of Female Employees” (女职工劳动保护特别规定), April 18, 2012, http://www.gov.cn/zwgk/2012-05/07/content_2131567.htm.


See the weibo account of the Employment Sex Discrimination Monitor Group (就业性别歧视监察大队), http://weibo.com/u/5327831786?refer_flag=1005055013 &is_all=1.


The latest case is Gao Xiao, who won a case against the Guangdong Huishijia Economic Development Company, which owns a seafood restaurant, and was awarded 2,000 RMB (approx. 291 USD) in compensation in April 2016. She appealed, and the Guangzhou Intermediate People’s Court upheld the original compensation amount, but demanded the company issue a written apology. Guangzhou Daily, “Female cook wins lawsuit over gender discrimination in Guangzhou” (粤港澳厨工应聘性别歧视状告酒楼获胜诉), September 22, 2016, http://gd.sina.com.cn/news/m/2016-09-22/detail-ifxwvmc5124698.shtml?from=.; In Hangzhou in November 2014, Huang Rong won her case against a cooking school, but was only awarded 2,000 RMB (approx. 291 USD) for “psychological pain.” She later sued again, demanding an apology from the company for discriminating against her because of her gender. Liu Jiaying, “Court Backs Woman Who Sued Cooking School over Employment Bias,” Caixin, November 14, 2014, http://english.caixin.com/2014-11-14/100751283.html; A women successfully sued China Post over firing her from a courier position because of her gender, the first such case against a State-owned company. Xinhua News Agency, “Female Graduate Wins Employment Discrimination Case” (女大学生打赢就业歧视官司), November 3, 2015, http://news.xinhuanet.com/local/2015-11/03/c_128386181.htm.


CEDAW, Concluding observations on the combined seventh and eighth periodic reports of China, paras. 24-25.


Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (CESCR), Concluding observations on the second periodic report of China, including Hong Kong, China and Macao, May 2014, CESCR/E/C.12/CHN/2, para 16.

CEDAW, Concluding observations on the combined seventh and eighth periodic reports of China, para 37.

Ibid. para. 10
284 cases of sex trafficking and forced labor involving 486 suspected traffickers in 2015, compared with 194 that it had reported the year prior, and that government authorities had prosed such acts include exploitation through sex trafficking or forced labor such statistics may not accurately reflect “trafficking” offenses based on international standards for such crimes (i.e., the
http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/ProtocolTraffickingInPersons.aspx
http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/258876.pdf
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CEDAW, Concluding observations on the second periodic report of China, including Hong Kong, China and Macao, para 27.

CEDAW, Concluding observations on the combined seventh and eighth periodic reports of China, para. 27.

http://www.gov.cn/zwgk/2013-03/08/content_2349019.htm); The Action Plan is officially intended to address efforts to amend anti-trafficking law, improve interagency and international cooperation, boost anti-trafficking investigations, develop anti-trafficking awareness campaigns, and enhance victim protection services. Both local and central authorities have funded activities outlined in the plan; for instance, the Ministry of Public Security in 2015 contributed 55 million RMB (approx. 8.5 million USD) for local law-enforcement efforts and anti-trafficking campaigns. US Department of State, 2016 Trafficking in Persons Report, pp. 130-1, http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/258876.pdf.

CEDAW, Concluding observations on the combined seventh and eighth periodic reports of China, para. 28.


Article 240 of China’s Criminal Law stipulates that: Whoever abducts and traffics in a woman or child shall be sentenced to fixed-term imprisonment of not less than five years but not more than 10 years and shall also be fined; if he falls under any of the following categories, he shall be sentenced to fixed-term imprisonment of not less than 10 years or life imprisonment and shall also be fined or sentenced to confiscation of property; if the circumstances are especially serious, he shall be sentenced to death and also to confiscation of property: (1) being a ringleader of a gang engaged in abducting and trafficking in women and children; (2) abducting and trafficking in three or more women and/or children; (3) raping the woman who is abducted and trafficked; (4) enticing or forcing the woman who is abducted and trafficked to engage in prostitution, or selling such woman to any other person who would force her to engage in prostitution; (5) kidnapping a woman or child by means of violence, coercion or anaesthesia for the purpose of selling the victim; (6) stealing a baby or an infant for the purpose of selling the victim; (7)

Article 240 of China’s Criminal Law stipulates that: Whoever abducts and traffics in a woman or child shall be sentenced to fixed-term imprisonment of not less than five years but not more than 10 years and shall also be fined; if he falls under any of the following categories, he shall be sentenced to fixed-term imprisonment of not less than 10 years or life imprisonment and shall also be fined or sentenced to confiscation of property; if the circumstances are especially serious, he shall be sentenced to death and also to confiscation of property: (1) being a ringleader of a gang engaged in abducting and trafficking in women and children; (2) abducting and trafficking in three or more women and/or children; (3) raping the woman who is abducted and trafficked; (4) enticing or forcing the woman who is abducted and trafficked to engage in prostitution, or selling such woman to any other person who would force her to engage in prostitution; (5) kidnapping a woman or child by means of violence, coercion or Anaesthesia for the purpose of selling the victim; (6) stealing a baby or an infant for the purpose of selling the victim; (7)


Data on criminal punishments for human traffickers provided by the Chinese government are believed to be incomplete. Also, such statistics may not accurately reflect “trafficking” offenses based on international standards for such crimes (i.e., the purpose of such acts include exploitation through sex trafficking or forced labor). The government reported that it had arrested 1,932 alleged traffickers in 2015, compared with 194 that it had reported the year prior, and that government authorities had prosecuted 284 cases of sex trafficking and forced labor involving 486 suspected traffickers. In 2015, the government reported convi
642 sex traffickers and 72 labor traffickers, compared with 35 total trafficking convictions in 2014. The statistics the government provided include data on other crimes, including the abduction and sale of women and children, for which the nexus to human trafficking was unclear. The Chinese government reported investigating 637 cases involving women and 756 cases involving children abducted and sold in 2015, 670 prosecutions involving 1,195 suspects, and the conviction of 1,362 perpetrators for the abduction and sale of women and children. US Department of State, 2016 Trafficking in Persons Report, p. 131, http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/258876.pdf.

38 CHRD, Report Submitted to UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women – September 2014, paras. 16-17.


The September 2015 second draft of the Anti-Domestic Violence Law opened up the definition from the initial draft to cover “family members,” which was viewed as ambiguous enough to cover same-sex couples. However, authorities specifically mentioned in a press conference after the law’s passage that it does not cover homosexual couples. China Law Translate, “What’s new in the new Domestic Violence Law draft?,” September 16, 2016, http://chinalawtranslate.com/major-changes-in-the-domestic-violence-law/?lang=en.


Committee Against Torture, Concluding observations on the fifth periodic report of China, CAT/C/CHN/CO/5, February 2016, paras. 55-56.

45 In 2014, there were many reports of cases involving clinics and hospitals that subjected individuals to “gay conversion therapy” in cities around China, including in Beijing, Chongqing, Guangzhou, Nanchong, Xi’an, and Zhuhai. Volunteers from the Gay’s Charity Organization reported the psychiatric clinics that offer “gay conversion therapy” to the offices of the Trade and Industry Bureau and Health Bureau in 10 cities (including Beijing, Guangzhou, Hangzhou, Nanning, Shenzhen, and Xi’an). In 2013, a gay man who went to a clinic to seek psychological counselling in 2013 was subjected to hypnosis and electric shocks for more than a month in Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province. In another case, from 2011, the parents of an 18-year-old lesbian who disapproved of her sexual orientation beat her, locked her inside their home, and then forcibly committed her to a psychiatric hospital in Changchun City, Jilin Province. CHRD and Coalition of NGOs, “Information Submission to the UN Committee Against Torture for Consideration in List of Issues, February 2015, https://www.nchrd.org/2015/02/chrd-information-submission-to-the-un-committee-against-torture-for-the-review-of-the-fifth-periodic-report-of-china-february-2015/.


47 Chinese Classification of Medical Disorders kept a category of “ego-dystonic homosexual” (article 62.31) to describe people who have difficulties accepting their homosexuality or bisexuality. China’s classification deviates from international standard, such that “ego-dystonic homosexual” is not found in World Health Organization’s International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10). Chinese Classification of Medical Disorders (CCMD-3), “Homosexuality, Bisexuality” (同性恋 双性恋), http://www.psychcn.com/counseling/zjxjn/200612/2580826650.shtml.

The proposal was submitted by National People’s Congress (NPC) delegates after initial consultation started in 2008, and has since been approved for further drafting and consultation with relevant departments. No calls for consultation with civil society have been made. Luo Jingwen (罗静雯), “NPC Deputy Sun Xiaomei: Speed Up Adoption of ‘Employment Anti-Discrimination Law’ To Ensure Equal Employment for Everyone” (人大代表孙晓梅：抓紧制定《反就业歧视法》 确保每个人平等就业), Chongqing Daily, March 16, 2016, http://cq.cqnews.net/html/2016-03/16/content_36531225.htm; Employment Anti-Discrimination Law of the People’s Republic of China (Proposal Draft by Experts) (中华人民共和国反就业歧视法（专家建议
The victim lost both the first-instance trial and appeal in 2015. The judge stated that it was unclear if the termination of his job was due to his homosexuality or damages to the company’s image caused by a video that was posted online, which revealed his sexual orientation. The judge’s reasoning reflected sexual orientation had in fact played a role, but the verdict was ruled against the victim. Pan Bo (潘博), “Man Fired After Coming Out of the Closet, Lost Lawsuit Against Company for Employment Discrimination” (男子出柜后被解雇 告公司就业歧视败诉), Guangzhou Daily, April 23, 2015, http://news.sina.com.cn/c/2015-04-23/053931749820.shtml.

The Ministry of Education has issued no directive or rules regarding how post-operative transsexuals can update their gender identity on school diplomas, hence, many schools refuse to change the identity for students. In some cases, the inconsistencies have caused distrust between employer and job candidate. CHRD interview with a LBGT rights NGO, 2016.


CHRD interview with two LBGT rights NGO, 2016. According to them, they were not allowed to register on campus and currently there are no known open LGBT group allowed to register like other student groups.

Prominent activist Ai Xiaoming was able to register a LGBT group at Guangzhou’s Sun Yat-sen University when she was still teaching at the school in 2006 because university authorities did not fully understand what LBGT stood for. University authorities then blocked the group from registering the following year, after the group began receiving media attention, and the university was under pressure to close it. NGOCN, “Story of Sun Yat-sen University’s Rainbow Club: University Is Not So Free and Inclusive” (中大彩虹社的故事：中大并没有那么自由包容), July 9, 2014, http://www.ngocn.net/news/90658.html.

The Ministry of Education has issued no directive or rules regarding how post-operative transsexuals can update their gender identity on school diplomas, hence, many schools refuse to change the identity for students. In some cases, the inconsistencies have caused distrust between employer and job candidate. CHRD interview with a LBGT rights NGO, 2016.

We have been able to register a LGBT group at Guangzhou’s Sun Yat-sen University because university authorities did not fully understand what LBGT stood for. University authorities then blocked the group from registering the following year, after the group began receiving media attention, and the university was under pressure to close it. NGOCN, “Story of Sun Yat-sen University’s Rainbow Club: University Is Not So Free and Inclusive” (中大彩虹社的故事：中大并没有那么自由包容), July 9, 2014, http://www.ngocn.net/news/90658.html.

In 2016, another school in Guangzhou pressured facilities and stores on campus not to provide space for an exhibition on homosexuality. WeChat User Sissyphus-stone, “An Exhibition Without People: The Most Authentic Artwork of Exhibition ‘Named’“ (一场没有人展览「被取消的『命名』展现真实的作品), September 19, 2016, http://mp.weixin.qq.com/s?__biz=MzIwMjM4MTIxNA==&mid=2247483701&idx=1&sn=8f4392b3db1c94c084eb2c6f6db26288&chksm=96dec3ca4a19ad29044166bc0a06dc913bdcf9b1036d324b06bada5a85a8c8291ed37099a7&scene=1&srcid=09198vt4EG0dwIKTBZwa0dYi#wechat_redirect.

Police and university officials also searched the woman’s home, an official from the university’s Communist Party Committee repeatedly harassed the two students, and state censors blocked a feminist group’s online social media account that posted the story. Wang Xiaoyu, “Heterosexual Proposal at Graduation Is Blessed, But Homosexual Proposal is Denied Diploma?” (异性恋毕业求婚得校长祝福，同性恋毕业求婚不给毕业？), China Digital Times, June 28, 2016, http://chinadigitaltimes.net/chinese/2016/06/%E5%BC%82%E6%80%A7%E6%81%8B%E5%AF%95%E4%B8%9A%E6%B1%82%E5%A9%9A%E5%BE%97%E6%96%A0%E9%95%BF%E7%A5%9D%E7%A6%8F%E6%BC%8C%E5%90%8C%E6%80%A7%E6%81%8B%E6%AF%95%E4%B8%8A%E6%B1%82%E5%A9%9A%E4%B8%8D%E7%BB%99.

CHRD interview with a LBGT rights NGO, 2016.
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64 Article 30, Law on Promotion of Employment of the People’s Republic of China.


66 Article 25 of the most recent proposal of the “Employment Anti-Discrimination Law” stipulates no discrimination based on one’s health status, except for infectious diseases including HIV/AIDS and hepatitis B as according to existing law. However, because of conflicting provisions between national and ministerial regulations, employers could get away with employment discrimination based on HIV/AIDS and hepatitis B status.

67 The first two cases occurred in 2010 where both of the victims were teachers who were denied employment because of their HIV/AIDS status. In 2011 and 2012, respectively, another two cases were filed by two qualified teachers who were denied their jobs after a physical examination. In 2013, one man passed a job interview working for a government organ but he was rejected after a positive HIV testing. In 2014, in the sixth case, a teacher filed for lawsuit after he was denied renewal of his employment contract due to HIV-positive status. Xu Mengna (许梦娜), “China’s Sixth Lawsuit Against Employment Discrimination Based on HIV/AIDS Status Rejected, Previous Five Cases All Lost” (国内第六例艾滋就业歧视案诉讼败诉，此前五例亦无一胜诉), The Paper, November 12, 2014, http://www.thepaper.cn/newsDetail_forward_1277316. For the seventh case, see: NGOCN, “Guangdong’s First HIV/AIDS Employment Discrimination Case Lost in Labor Arbitration” (广东首例艾滋就业歧视案仲裁败诉), June 23, 2016, http://www.ngocn.net/news/2016-06-23-5168871b82cded23.html.

68 Ibid.

69 In the only successful lawsuit, it took the court three years to reach a verdict that provided some compensation for lost employment to the victim, however, the court did not grant the victim’s request to return to work. Yu Ziru (于子茹), “Lessons From China’s First Winning Case of Employment Discrimination Against AIDS Infection” (国内首例艾滋病就业歧视案胜诉的启示), Xinhua News Agency, May 13, 2016, http://news.xinhuanet.com/legal/2016-05/13/c_128979915.htm.

70 NGOCN, “Guangdong’s First HIV/AIDS Employment Discrimination Case Lost in Labor Arbitration.”

71 We have assessed some of the recommendations in this section to be “poor.” The recommendation by Egypt (135) is “poor” since it contains a problematic presumption, namely that China has “effective protection” for the family. The full text recommends China “maintain its effective protection for the family as the fundamental and natural unit of society,” but current laws and practices are not “effective” and do not provide adequate protection. Furthermore, the recommendation by the United Arab Emirates (79) for China to “Continue its efforts to successfully achieve the Child Development Plan 2011-2020” is also “poor” because it practically praises China for its “efforts” to “successfully” achieve the stated goals, but the government has not made enough efforts and these goals have not been successfully achieved. Namibia’s recommendation (244) “Continue promoting the right to development” is assessed as “poor” because the Chinese government has not promoted the “right to development” as a human right to equitable and participatory human development. Yemen’s recommendation (245) “Give priority to the right of people to development…” is assessed as “poor” for the same reason.

72 CEDAW welcomed the promulgation of the National Plan of Action on Combating Human Trafficking (2013-2020), but noted the lack of clarity as to whether domestic law criminalizes all forms of trafficking, including trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation, forced labour, forced marriage and illegal adoption. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), Concluding observations on the combined seventh and eighth periodic reports of China, CEDAW/C/CHN/CO/7-8, November 2014, para. 28.

73 Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC), Concluding observations on the combined third and fourth periodic reports of China, CRC/C/CHN/CO/3-4, October 2013, para. 8.


75 Currently, there is no regulation that stipulates punishment for individuals who recruit child laborers from around the country. They target minority groups in poor rural areas where poverty has forced children to search for work, such as the case of children of Yi ethnic minority group from Liangshan Yi Autonomous Prefecture in Sichuan Province. Children as young as 11 years old were forced to go out and work, not knowing it is illegal. Girls are more vulnerable to being pressured to find work because of gender discrimination. Beijing Youth Daily, “A Different Life for Child Laborers of Daliangshan” (大凉山童工的另一种人生), January 26, 2014, http://epaper.ynet.com/html/2014-01/26/content_38001.htm?div=-1.
March 25, 2016,

Children: More Children Go Missing as Temperature Rises (被"卖"进工厂的实习学生), September 25, 2016, [source]

According to an analysis of over 8,000 cases of child abduction, more girls aged 13 and above than boys are trafficked because they would be sold into sex trafficking and forced marriage. Wang Qingkai (王庆凯), "Police Rescued 13,000 Abducted Children Nationwide in 2014" (四川一学校学生实习疑变廉价工 1天工作12小时), October 21, 2016, [source]

In 2014, several cities in Jiangsu Province had reported incidents of students under 18, including children laborers, were subjected to overtime work, night shifts, and poor living conditions. Students and their parents were not informed of the working conditions beforehand. No punishment was given to either the factory that employed underage workers or schools that knowingly sent students to unlawful work environment. Jiangsu TV, "Undercover Visits to Chemical Plants Suspected of Using Child Labor, Interns Work 12 Hours Every Day" (暗访化工厂涉嫌使用童工 实习生每天工作12小时), September 2014, [source]

In 2014, over 60 students under 16 years old were forced to work summer internships at a packaging facility in Guangdong Province, for up to 13 hours daily. The manager of the factory claimed to have good relations with the local labor inspectorate, an administrative branch of Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security, hence they were not afraid of complaints against them. Xu Zhanglong (徐章龙), "Vocational School Teachers Expose ‘Illegal Employment’ at Chang’an Factory" (职校老师曝长安工厂“非法用工”), Nandu.com, August 1, 2014, [source]

The five government agencies include: Ministry of Education, Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security, State Administration of Work Safety, and China Insurance Regulatory Commission. This new regulation will apply to promotions on prohibition of child labor to carry out criminal punishment if violations occur. The new regulation outlaws overtime, dangerous, and harmful work. In addition, an agreement from guardian is required if an intern is at least 16 but younger than 18 and unlike its predecessor, this new regulation stipulates offenders be prosecuted accordingly. “Notice Concerning Administrative Measures for Internships at Vocational Schools” (关于印发《职业学校学生实习管理规定》的通知), April 11, 2016, [source]

Under the regulations, schools have unchecked power to manage the system, including selecting companies and supervising internships, investigating violations, and deciding what remedies to give. The absence of an independent body to oversee the internship programs and a mechanism for students to file appeals and seek redress fail to protect the interests of young workers, particularly those who are under 18.

A major area of concern is the ambiguity of the labor relations between interns and employers, where it is unclear whether students could file civil lawsuits or take their case to labor arbitration bodies as channels for legal redress. This problem is further exacerbated when companies are not mandated to pay for welfare benefits and insurance for student workers, creating a pool of cheap labor for employers. Furthermore, while the regulation provides a list of banned activities, it does not stipulate a “catch-all” provision that outlines the basic guarantees of students’ rights, hence potential loopholes for offenders who committed violations not included on the list.

Such work including metal forming, pressing, cutting, and welding. Most of them do not have worker’s compensation insurance, which employers are required by law to have to cover for employees. In most cases, because it is illegal to hire underage workers, employers intentionally do not provide labor contracts, hence depriving them of fair wage, guarantees of payment, and benefits including periodic health examinations especially for those working dangerous or harmful jobs. CHRD interview, 2016.

In 2014, a 14-year-old died after working up to 12 hours every day for two months at a factory in Guangdong Province. Journalists reported this case to the local branch of Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security and after an investigation confirming employment of child labor, the factory was fined 10,000 RMB (approx. 1,500 USD), but no one was held criminally responsible. Foshan Public, “14-year-old Child Laborer Died in Sleep Worked 11 Hours A Day, Factory Fined 10,000 RMB” (14岁童工每天工作11小时梦中猝死 工厂被罚1万), April 23, 2016, [source]

Legal Daily, “Police Rescued 13,000 Abducted Children Nationwide in 2014” (2014年全国解救被拐儿童1.3万), February 16, 2015, [source]

Child trafficking affects both sexes, although in different ways: male infants and toddlers sell for higher price and in high demand while girls trafficked are usually older, because they would be sold into sex trafficking and forced marriage. According to an analysis of over 8,000 cases of child abduction, more girls aged 13 and above than boys are trafficked because they would be trafficked into illegal markets for forced prostitution and marriage. Wang Qingkai (王庆凯), "Analysis of 30 Years of Missing Children: More Children Go Missing as Temperature Rises" (三十年失踪儿童分析：气温回暖儿童失踪几率增大), Caijing, March 25, 2016, [source]
In 2008, the Ministry of Civil Affairs (MCA) estimated the number of child beggars to be 1-1.5 million, with 75 percent of the children being trafficked from rural areas. Zhang Han (张寒), “Director of Anti-Trafficking Office of Ministry of Public Security: Huge Profits in Trafficking Children, Remains Rampant Despite Crackdown” (公安部打拐办主任: “拐卖儿童有暴利，屡打不绝”), Beijing News, November 12, 2010, http://politics.people.com.cn/GB/1026/13194577.html; MCA has not published any new data and although the MCA and Ministry of Public Security jointly issued an opinion to strengthen efforts to confirm the identity of homeless individuals and beggars, and provide referral services to assist them, it does not include any specific and concrete measures for evaluation. Ministry of Civil Affairs and Ministry of Public Security, http://xxgk.mca.gov.cn/gips/n1360/65165.html.
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